

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,027		12/15/2003	Michael Guest	2651-262-1	5583
22442	7590	07/14/2005		EXAM	INER
	AN ROSS I	PC	HUSBAND, SARAH E		
SUITE 12				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER	DENVER, CO 80202			1746	
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/737,027	GUEST ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sarah E. Husband	1746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply. I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u>6 June 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the applicat	4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-19</u> is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 20-37</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 20-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The ball of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner, Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
See the attached detailed Office action for a	nst of the certified copies hot f	cociveu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su						
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-1/-9-5-5-5-6-13-5		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Claims 4-19 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected method, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on June 6, 2005. It is noted that applicant has submitted amended claims 20-37. Applicants' argument is persuasive and claims 20-37 will be examined along with claims 1-3.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the high-pressure hose" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 20, 21, and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoenisch (US Patent No. 6,571,805) in view of Kasen (US Publication No. 2001/0002500).

Hoenisch discloses a fluid tank having a fluid inlet and outlet (Fig. 3, Item 17 or col. <sup>2</sup>2, ll. 51-55), a main pump with an inlet and outlet, which is adapted to transfer fluid through the pump outlet into a high-pressure fluid delivery line (Fig. 3, Item 13), a mixing member having at least two fluid inlets and at least one fluid outlet (Fig. 3, Item 19), a selector having at least two fluid inlets and one fluid outlet (Fig. 2, Item 21), at least a first fluid receptacle and a second fluid receptacle, wherein said receptacles are in fluid communication with the at least two fluid inlets of the selector (Fig. 2, Items C1-C4), a first fluid delivery line in communication with the fluid outlet of the selector and a second fluid inlet of the mixing member, a second fluid line in communication with the fluid outlet of the selector and the inlet of the mixing member, a third fluid delivery line in communication with the fluid outlet of the mixing member, and in communication with the inlet of the main pump (Fig. 3). Although some of the fluid lines are arranged differently, the result is the same in that the mixed fluid is transported to the fluid dispersion device and the courts have ruled that the rearrangement of parts is obvious (In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70). Hoenisch also discloses a fluid dispersion device, adapted to selectively disperse high-pressure fluid received from a high-pressure hose and the device having a trigger (Fig. 1-3, Item 29).

Hoenisch does not disclose a secondary pump or the pump operated by a switch. Kasen discloses the secondary pump (priming valve) in communication with the tank and with the third fluid delivery line adapted to introduce pressurized fluid into the inlet of the main pump, thereby displacing trapped gas through the outlet of the main pump and out the high-pressure fluid delivery line (para. 73). Kasen discloses a means for priming the pump.

Although Kasen does not specifically state that the means is a pump, the structure disclosed by Kasen provides the same function and could be considered a pump because it moves fluid. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to accomplish this task with a pump as pumps are commonly known in the cleaning apparatus art. Kasen discloses that different types of pumps can be used in the pump system (para. 73). If applicant can show that there is some unexpected result when using a solenoid pump in comparison to other types of pumps, this will be considered. Kasen also discloses a pump controlled by a switch (para. 44). This switch would control the associated steps to the pumping process and therefore the secondary pump which would be operated prior to the main pump because the pump should be primed before being operated as Kasen discloses. Operating a pump by a switch is commonly found in the portable cleaning apparatus. If this was not the case, the apparatus would operate continuously.

Hoenisch and Kasen are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, portable cleaning apparatus. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the structure disclosed by Hoenisch with a secondary pump in order to prime the pump as disclosed by Kasen (para. 73) and also a switch for the benefit of controlling the operation of the cleaning apparatus.

Claim 23-26 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoenisch and Kasen as applied to claims 1-3, 20, 21 and 27-29 above, and further in view of Williams (US Patent No. 5,221,026).

Hoenisch and Kasen disclose the apparatus as shown above in the 103(a) rejection.

Hoenisch and Kasen do not specifically disclose a gas bleed valve, however, Hoenisch does disclose having a vent in order to release air from the pump system. Williams discloses using a gas bleed valve to get rid of air in the system (col. 5, ll. 16-18). It is also common in the art to use manual or controlled valves and it would have been obvious to modify the structure accordingly. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to modify the structure shown by Hoenisch and Kasen with an air bleed valve in order to remove air from the pump system.

Claims 22 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoensich and Kasen as applied to claims 1-3, 20, 21 and 27-29 above, and further in view of Field (US Patent No. 6,705,332).

Hoenisch and Kasen disclose the apparatus shown above in the first 103(a) rejection but they do not disclose a check valve associated with the pump. Field discloses the check valve (Fig. 3, Item 76). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the pump system disclosed by Hoenisch and Kasen with a check valve in order to ensure the proper operation of the pump.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art not referred to are Adelt (US 5,311,892), Shaffer (US 4,821,958) and Teague (US 5,383,605), who teach portable cleaning apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah E. Husband whose telephone number is (571) 272-8387. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached at (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEH 7/11/2005 MICHAEL BARR SUP**ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE**R